

Here is the response to Challengers issues again:

*“The 2nd address, marked as Spend Third Party Partnership and Promotion Allocation can be found here:*

*<https://etherscan.io/token/0xdd460bbd9f79847ea08681563e8a9696867210c?a=0x0afe12cb056c238f09739d327081ecf14722142d> At first glance it looks benign enough. However it caught my attention that a large amount of funds were moved on June 8th which was directly after the last dispute ended, where they were denied for not having distributed the 10%.”*

This has nothing to do with the 10% issue. Those distributions are done to Corporate Partners which also use the Spend Wallet infrastructure and goes to 1 of the 3 addresses used for the system. (USA, International, Corporate Wallet). The 45,000,000 transfer was not used to “meet” the necessary circulating count for a listing badge. This is a misrepresentation. Even taking out the 45,000,000, it means spend has a circulating count of over 300,000,000 which exceeds the 10% requirement by over 100 million SPND tokens. (200,000,000 = 10%)

We do not need to answer any of this Challengers biased accusations as just by simple math this refutes that allegation. We had already far met the necessary circulation count before the 45M transfer. It goes to show that. The only reason we got denied the first time was we didn't have the chance to dispute as we just missed the deadline when we attempted to show our facts we showed in the other responses for this badge request.

Thank you