Kleros Tokens Registry Policy

Purpose

This document details the acceptance criteria for entries to this curated registry of crypto tokens.

These rules serve one main purpose:

Provide the community with a reliable registry of tokens that their users can safely interact with on their platforms.

Definitions

1. **Community:** Most used explorers, exchanges, media, and other relevant members in the crypto ecosystem.
2. **Key words**: "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in the normative portions of this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119. These keywords may appear in lowercase and still retain their meaning unless explicitly declared as non-normative.
3. **Major issue**: A bug, deficiency or vulnerability which either:
- Prevents the application from operating as expected; or
- Carries a risk of security, privacy breach, financial loss, or harm for the user.
4. **Majority-used:** Used by the vast majority of the community.
5. **Spelling**: Arrangement of letters, capitalization and usage of spacing and punctuation in a word.

Elements required for submission

Field Title	Description	Examples
Token Name	The token name must follow the criteria detailed in this policy. This field must not exceed 40 characters.	• *Pinakion* • *TrueUSD* • *Basic Attention Token*
Contract Address	The CAIP-10 address of the smart contract being tagged.	• *For regular users entering the address on*

	Note: • *The interface on curate.kleros.io has a dropdown for you to select the chain of the address you are submitting so that it gets stored in the correct chain-specific* CAIP-10 *address format in the background.* • *Case-sensitive only if required for that chain (e.g. Solana).*	*curate.kleros.io:*  • For advanced users interacting directly with the contract: *eip155:1:0xe276056f924e15beac26f7b10b6d2805f9c64bb5*
Ticker	*Ticker of the token in question.* This field must not exceed *20 characters.*	• *USDC* • *FIRU* • *WETH*
Decimals	The number of decimals applicable for this token	• 18 • 6
Logo	The **PNG** logo for the token of at least **128px X 128px** in size, must follow the criteria detailed in this policy.	
Website (link)	The URL of the most popular website related to the token's project or the URL of the token project's official website.	https://chain.link/ https://tether.to/ https://www.wbtc.network/

Acceptance rules

Token Integrity

1. A token can belong to either an EVM-compatible or a non-EVM-compatible chain:
   - If the token is on an EVM-compatible chain, it must follow the ERC-20 standard.
   - If the token is on a non-EVM-compatible chain, it must be a fungible token.
2. The token contract must be free of major issues.

> ***Example**: Token contract suffers from unchecked external call vulnerability.*

3. If there is a preponderance of evidence indicating that the token is involved in a scam or any other scheme that poses clear and demonstrable risk to users, the token must

not be accepted in the registry. Evidence may include indicators such as, but not limited to:

a. Functions of the token contract that allow one person or group of persons to retrieve tokens, change token balances, or freeze accounts.
b. Risk rating metrics from platforms such as but not limited to Token Sniffer Honeypot, DappRadar, Etherscan, among others.
c. Token project's posts are only oriented around price, profit promises, market cap, volume speculation or referral schemes.
d. Team members have fake social media profiles or have criminal records for fraud or similar crimes.
e. The token has the same symbol, logo and name as a renowned crypto asset.

Submissions should not be rejected based solely on the existence of an indicator, but rather assessed comprehensively and on a case-by-case basis.

Example: *Alice submits the USDC token. Bob challenges this submission, claiming that USDC is a scam because the contract includes a function that permits Circle to freeze funds. Alice rightly argues that the existence of one indicator must not be determinant to consider the token a scam and must be assessed comprehensively with its context. She easily proves that USDC is one of the most widely used stablecoins, backed by a highly qualified team, compliant with regulations, and is utilizing that function to freeze funds from blacklisted wallets under regulatory orders, and has never used it to scam users. Jurors correctly rule in favor of Alice and accept the token.*
Example: *Alice submits a token called Star Wars token. The project's website claims this to be the token of the popular movie. Upon close examination, some profiles of the project's core team have clear AI-generated appearances, and there's no whitepaper or other information about the project available. Furthermore, the official creators of the TV show have explicitly disavowed any association with the token. Notably, the token contract includes a function granting its creators the authority to drain funds from any liquidity pool and restricts other holders from selling the token. There are social media posts of holders claiming they cannot sell their tokens. Bob challenges this submission, and jurors deem there is enough evidence indicating the token is part of a scamming scheme.*

Duplicate submissions

4. Tokens must be submitted only once in the registry. If there are multiple pending submissions for the same token, the first submission must be accepted, as long as it complies with the acceptance rules. Subsequent pending submissions, even if they meet the acceptance criteria and the previous pending submissions do not, must never be accepted.
5. A token may be resubmitted (creating a duplicate submission) only if the previously accepted submission lacks the website field, and the new submission includes it with accurate, policy-compliant information.

> ***Example:*** *Alice submits the token ABC at 10 AM UTC, and Bob submits the same token at 11 AM UTC. Bob's submission is challenged by Charlie, who argues that this is a duplicate submission. Bob argues that even if his submission was later, Alice's submission is incorrect because the token logo she submitted is incorrect. Jurors determine that, even if Alice's submission is incorrect, Bob's submission must still be rejected according to this rule. Bob should have first challenged Alice's token submission or waited for it to be rejected and, after it was resolved, resubmitted the token.*
> ***Example****: Token XYZ is registered without any website information because it was submitted before the website field was added to this registry. Later, Bob submits the same token with the website field completed. Even though it is a duplicate submission, it is allowed under this rule.*

Token Name

Name:

6. The token name must adhere to the following criteria:
    a. The name must be the official name of the token. This refers to any name published by the token project's representatives. If there is more than one official name, any of them is acceptable, except in the case of a rebranding, in which the name must be the rebranded version.
    b. If no official version exists, the name must be the majority-used.
    c. In the absence of a clear majority-used name, any used version of the name that does not contain any suffixes such as, but not limited to, "Token" or "Coin", must be accepted.
    d. In the absence of substantially used names without suffixes, any name with substantial use must be accepted.

> ***Example:*** *The token name shared in the media kit or in the token explanation section of the official website must be accepted.*
> ***Example:*** *Basic Attention Token must be accepted even if ending with "token" because it is the official name of the token as seen in the project's website and social media.*

    e. The ticker of the token must follow the same rules established for the token name.

> ***Example:*** *The token Ethernal has the ticker "ETH" in the token contract. However, the Ethernal DAO has passed a governance proposal to rebrand its ticker to "ETHN", and they request in its website listing parties to use the ticker "ETHN" when listing the token to ensure better distinction from Ether, so the correct ticker is "ETHN".*

    f. Spelling must follow the same rules established for the token name.

> ***Example:*** *Bob submits the token "Ten A.I." and the Ten A.i. project team uses a lowercase 'i' in 'A.i.' in all their documentation, including the official media kit, whitepaper, website and social media posts. Therefore, the submission must be rejected.*

Token Logo

7. The logo must adhere to the following criteria:
    a. It must be the official version of the logo. This refers to the main logo used by the token project's representatives. In the case of an official logo rebranding, the logo must be the rebranded version.
    b. If no official version exists or if two or more official versions coexist without any specific official endorsement for any particular logo, the logo must be the majority-used.
    c. In the absence of a clear majority-used logo, the logo must default to any version with substantial use by the community that does not include the project or token name in it.
    d. If there are several logos with substantial use that do not include the project or token name, the logo must default to any transparent version.
    e. If no transparent version exists, any logo with substantial use must be accepted.

> ***Example:*** *The token project team shares various logos in the media kit section of their official website, featuring a token with the logo "A" in the project's characteristic colors, displayed as the standard logo. They have also included other black & white versions for specific uses. However, since the policy rule states that the logo must be the main logo, Bob correctly submits the token with the logo "A".*
> ***Example:*** *The project team equally uses two logos, one in blue and the other in black, without any specific endorsement for either. However, the vast majority of the community uses the blue version, so Bob correctly submits the token with the blue logo.*

    f. The logo must have a file size not exceeding 1MB, and be at least 128px X 128px in size.
    g. The logo should be centered and take most of the space available in the image.

> ***Example:*** *Bob crops the logo image displayed on the website of the token project, positioning the logo to the left, which is easily noticeable at a glance. Therefore, jurors correctly reject the token.*
> ***Example****: Alice downloads the logo image from the media kit of the token project's website. Bob challenges this submission, noticing that it is slightly off-center. Despite this, jurors correctly accept the token since it is the official version of the logo.*

h. The logo must be of a definition high enough such that it must not appear pixelated or blurry unless those are on-purpose features of the logo.
i. The logo must be fully included (i.e. not clipped at the edges) unless the applicable version of the logo is clipped.
j. Variations in the file, resolution, or other attributes of a token logo that do not have a visual impact, or are practically invisible to the human eye when displayed at a size of 10 cm by 10 cm, are acceptable.

> ***Example:*** *Bob found that the token logo in the project's media kit was only available in JPG format. To meet the requirement for a PNG format, he converted the logo while preserving its visual fidelity. When his submission was challenged for being a home-made version, the jurors reviewed the case and they concluded that the converted logo was visually indistinguishable from the official one, thereby complying with the policy.*

Website

8. The token website link must adhere to the following criteria:
   a. The URL must be free of major issues.
   b. A submission **must not** be rejected only because a more relevant website link URL could have been provided. As long as the link refers to the relevant product/brand/website, it must be accepted.

> ***Example****: if a submitter provides https://stake.lido.fi/ instead of https://lido.fi/ for the stETH token, it should still be accepted.*

Miscellaneous

9. Throughout the submission period, all data pertaining to the entry must be set in IPFS and must be accessible and discoverable, otherwise the entry must be rejected.

Removal rules

10. If a token accepted in the registry fails to meet the acceptance rules outlined in this policy, anyone can request its removal. If a removal request is based on the absence of website entry field information, but the token complied with the policy in effect at the time of its submission, the request must be rejected.

> ***Example:*** *Alice submitted a token with an incorrect logo, however no challenger detected this and the token was accepted. Bob then detects this error and requests the removal of the token.*
> ***Example:*** *Bob requests to remove a token because it lacks website information, which this policy requires. Alice challenges and shows that, when the token was submitted, the policy in*

force at that time did not have a website entry field. Since the submission met the requirements then in force, the removal request must be denied.

11. If a registered token was initially compliant with the policy at the time of acceptance in the registry but later becomes non-compliant due to changes in the token's circumstances, anyone can request its removal.

Example: *Initially, a token featuring the logo "A" met all acceptance criteria and was correctly registered. However, the token's project later announced a rebranding initiative on their website, unveiling a new logo "B". Consequently, the registered token no longer adheres to the policy rules. In response, Alice requests the removal of the registered token.*
Example: *A token initially fulfilled all acceptance criteria and was duly registered. However, subsequent modifications to its contract introduced a major vulnerability, posing a risk to its users. As a result, the token has a major issue and no longer aligns with the policy rules. In response, Alice requests the removal of the registered token.*